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Key Messages

• Effective feeding practices at early stages of life have a positive impact on the growth and development of a child as evidenced by a growing body of literature on negative growth outcome with inappropriate feeding practices.

• Fortification of commonly used food vehicles provides an opportunity for increasing nutrient intake during infancy and has the potential to improve growth. Fortification, however, depends on relevant food vehicles during complementary feeding programs. Those food vehicles include staple foods (e.g., rice), condiments (soy sauce and sugar), and processed foods (infant complementary foods).

• Most studies still reflect outcomes that do not demonstrate direct cause and effect relationships between fortification and growth but rather evidence of implied linkages.

• Important caution dwells on the fact that fortification should be evidence based and implemented based on initial screening processes or observable clinical signs for potential deficiencies. Key players in the intervention matrix should avoid blanket or mass fortification without informed choices backed by evidence.
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Abstract

There appears to be increasing evidence of the relationship between infant feeding practices and growth during infancy. Effective complementary feeding has demonstrated an observable positive effect on the linear growth of a child within the first 24 months of life. It appears that improved complementary feeding is directly proportional to the linear growth of a child. Fortification of commonly used food vehicles provides an opportunity for increasing nutrient intake during infancy and has the potential to improve growth and development dimensions. This review scanned through 186 articles from common search engines, mainly PubMed, BioMed Central, and Google Scholar. The result based on a systematic review of articles which met the minimum selection criteria identified milk, iodine, maize meal porridge, and vegetable oils as recurring fortification vehicles in the context of complementary feeding. A significant impact of fortification on linear and cognitive growth was demonstrated recurrently across the included empirical studies. However, the review reflects outcomes that still do not demonstrate direct cause and effect relationships but rather implied meaning in the relationship matrix.
Introduction

Effective feeding practices at early stages of life have a positive impact on the growth and development of a child. There is a growing body of literature that significantly demonstrates a negative growth outcome with inappropriate feeding practices [1, 2]. Introducing additional foods other than breast milk poses a high risk of deficiencies at the early stage of life [3] and more specific for 1,000 days.

A causal link between infant feeding practices and growth during infancy is unquestionable and many studies have had significant proof of the relationship [4–6]. These linkages have been demonstrated particularly in both cross-sectional studies [7, 8] and longitudinal models [9]. Both designs have strong weight behind determination of causation and had a significant confirmation of the link between feeding practices and growth of a child leading to strong internal consistency.

Growth faltering is widespread during the critical formative years of child growth [10–12] leading to both short-term and long-term consequences in relation to physical and mental development [13]. Effective complementary feeding has demonstrated an observable positive effect on the linear growth of a child within the first 24 months of life [14]. Somehow, it appears that improved complementary feeding is directly proportional to the linear growth of a child [15]. These empirical attestations confirm the need for interventions that target growth enhancement during complementary feeding.

Existing literature confirms that growth impairment can be experienced first immediately after birth and may continue all through 18 months [16, 17]. This period includes the complementary feeding phase characterized by variations across households. Growth failure may be caused by an inadequate intake of macronutrients and micronutrients [18], which is sometimes found to be common during complementary feeding. Many causes of growth retardation which occur during complementary feeding often reflected as stunting have demonstrated reversibility. The provision of multiple micronutrient supplementation during 12 months corrected child growth in Mexican children [11]. This outcome was also reflected in cognitive development [19], where micronutrient supplementation could improve cognitive indices with characteristics of impairment.

Fortification of commonly used food vehicles provides an opportunity for increasing nutrient intake during infancy and has the potential to improve growth [20]. Fortification, however, depends on relevant food vehicles during complementary feeding programs. Those food vehicles include staple foods (e.g., rice), condiments (soy sauce and sugar), and processed foods (infant complementary foods) [21]. In infants and young children, fortification has demonstrated more effectiveness than supplementation [22]. This review examines the effectiveness and impact of fortification on the growth and development of children during complementary feeding. Focus is given to physical growth, differential growth, and development parameters during infancy and childhood.

Methodology

The study design adopted the use of a mini systematic review critically assessing previous empirical studies (Fig. 1). PubMed, Google Scholar, and BioMed Central were the main databases used to search for relevant articles using keywords such as “food fortification and growth” and “food fortification, growth and compensatory feeding”. Objective evaluation was applied in critical scanning of each article based on the thematic focus of the articles in relation to the topic of the study. Issues that were weighted for purposes of inclusion surrounded the complementary feeding, fortification in the context of young child feeding as well as physical and cognitive growth. The review scanned through 186 articles closely related to the topic and out of these a few articles were selected after meeting the threshold of set criteria to argue on the empirical case. The results identified milk, iodine, maize meal, and vegetable oil as the commonly used food vehicles for fortification.

Results and Discussion

Child Growth during Complementary Feeding

Introduction of complementary foods after 6 months of exclusive breastfeeding is crucial for optimal growth and development of a child within the 1,000 golden days [23]. Complementary feeding contributes to child growth and development between 6 and 24 months [24], making it a critical factor in the etiology of malnutrition. Some studies have confirmed the existence of problems characterized by suboptimal complementary feeding practices in West Africa posing a major challenge towards the...
achievement of a minimally acceptable diet [25]. Given the importance of complementary feeding in the physical growth and cognitive development of a child, there appear to be serious gaps when normal diet provisions become a usual practice.

During complementary feeding, the high incidence of growth faltering is probably the result of questionable meal quality, quantity, and frequency [26]. These components of complementary feeding attempt to suggest a critical focus on the quality and adequacy of complementary foods for maximum supply of required nutrients. These results may exhibit adverse consequences on growth and development. Somehow a study of this nature seems to demonstrate a persistent positive effect on intelligence development [27]. In addition, this reaffirms the contribution of fortification to the cognitive development of children.

Fortification during Complementary Feeding: Growth and Development Concept

Fortification is one of the many public health interventions towards mitigating micronutrient malnutrition as well as poor growth and development of children [28]. Fortification refers to the deliberate addition of nutrients to food to meet the demand of increased intake requirements of a targeted population. In many cases, fortification targets restoring nutrients lost during processing, enhancing nutrients lost during processing, enhancing nutrient levels of food vehicles that have limited content than what is required, and adding nutrients not usually present in food to some commonly consumed food vehicles for the purposes of boosting intake of that particular nutrient [29, 30].

Successful fortification during complementary feeding depends on food vehicles commonly used as comple-
ments. Nearly all food vehicles used as basic minimum for complementary feeding reviewed from previous literature focus on micronutrient fortification [31–33]. However, it is widely known in science that vitamins and minerals play a major role in metabolic processes and are very critical in macronutrient metabolism. Therefore, the hidden role in growth is unquestionable.

Impact of Fortification on Growth and Development: Systematic Review

Complementary feeding has a significant attributable effect on child growth and thus opens up a gateway for fortification [34]. Many studies have demonstrated the impact of fortification on the physical and emotional development of children.

A study conducted to investigate whether multi-micronutrient food fortification could improve the cognition of school children through a systematic review process revealed a positive correlation and subsequent effect [35]. Similarly, another study made an attempt to test the effect of milk fortified with iodine on the cognitive ability of children, where a considerable favorable impact was realized on cognitive function of school children [36]. This relationship is not far from the truth as iodine is needed for the production of thyroid hormone that is essential for growth.

A randomized controlled study involving 419 infants aged 6 months was conducted for 9 months with a single-blind technique to establish the effect of animal source food and micronutrient fortification in complementary food products on linear growth [37]. The final outcome of this study revealed the potential of micronutrient fortification. The outcome somehow concurred with another study which demonstrated a positive effect on linear growth driven by multi-micronutrient home fortifica-
Table 1. Selected studies showing the impact of food fortification on growth [39–52]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors [Ref.]</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Methods and results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boughouch et al. [39]</td>
<td>Effect of fortified milk on growth and nutritional status in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis</td>
<td>Design: Five databases were searched for randomized controlled trials using fortified milk against control milk in young children. Outcomes were growth, body composition, and/or biochemical markers. Pooled differences in means were calculated for continuous outcomes and odds ratios for binary outcomes. Results: Fortified milk was found to be an effective source of complementary nutrition to supplement children in need when consumed in appropriate amounts in addition to a normal diet. It appears that further research on fortified milk is warranted before making global recommendations on benefits for growth and nutritional outcomes in young children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebrother et al. [40]</td>
<td>Iodized salt and iodine supplements for prenatal and postnatal growth: a rapid scoping of existing systematic reviews</td>
<td>Design: All English-language systematic reviews reporting on the effect of iodine supplementation or fortification in any form, dose, or regimen on any iodine-related health outcomes (including but not limited to growth) were included. Results: Although 5 reviews prespecified inclusion of growth outcomes, none provided synthesized evidence on the effects of iodine supplementation or fortification on prenatal and postnatal somatic growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuriyan et al. [41]</td>
<td>The effects of regular consumption of a multiple micronutrient fortified milk beverage on the micronutrient status of school children and on their mental and physical performance</td>
<td>Design: A randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled study design was used with normal healthy children from low- to middle-income families, aged 7 – 10 years randomly assigned to receive either a multi-micronutrient-fortified or an unfortified milk-based control drink. Results: Overall improvement in cognitive and physical performance was seen in both groups at end line, with no significant differences between the groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sazawal et al. [42]</td>
<td>Compliance with home-based fortification strategies for delivery of iron and zinc: its effect on hematological and growth markers among 6- to 24-month-old children in north India</td>
<td>Design: A total of 292 children were randomly allocated to receive either rice-based fortified complementary food and nutrition education (CF = 101), sprinkle and nutrition education alone as control (Ed = 94). Results: Fortified complementary food had higher compliance than sprinkle and is a suitable delivery mechanism for iron and zinc in preschool children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semba et al. [43]</td>
<td>Consumption of micronutrient-fortified milk and noodles is associated with lower risk of stunting in preschool-aged children in Indonesia</td>
<td>Design: Consumption of fortified milk and fortified noodles was assessed in children 6–59 months of age from 222,250 families living in rural areas and 79,940 families living in urban slum areas in Indonesia. Results: The consumption of fortified milk and noodles is associated with decreased odds of stunting among Indonesian children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pérez-Exposito and Klein [44]</td>
<td>Impact of fortified blended food aid products on nutritional status of infants and young children in developing countries</td>
<td>Design: The review was conducted to examine the impact that fortified blended foods used in humanitarian relief programs have had on the health and nutritional status of infants and young children with moderate malnutrition, or at risk of undernutrition, in developing countries. Published articles were identified using electronic databases and general Web searches. Results: Positive effects on recovery from moderate acute malnutrition and weight gain were observed when fortified blended foods were distributed as dietary supplements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muthayya et al. [45]</td>
<td>Effect of fortification with multiple micronutrients and n-3 fatty acids on growth and cognitive performance in Indian schoolchildren: the CHAMPION (Children’s Health and Mental Performance Influenced by Optimal Nutrition) study</td>
<td>Design: In a 2-by-2 factorial, double-blind, randomized controlled trial, 598 children aged 6–10 years were individually allocated to 1 of 4 intervention groups to receive foods fortified with either 100 or 15% of the recommended dietary allowance of micronutrients in combination with either 900 mg alpha-linolenic acid plus 100 mg docosahexaenoic acid or 140 mg alpha-linolenic acid for 12 months. Results: The high-micronutrient treatment was more beneficial for linear growth than the low-micronutrient treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva et al. [46]</td>
<td>Growth of preschool children was improved when fed an iron-fortified fermented milk beverage supplemented with Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td>Design: The fermented milk beverage was fortified with iron amino acid chelate (3 mg iron per 80 mL) and supplemented with Lactobacillus acidophilus (test) or not (control). The beverage was fed to 190 children aged 2–5 years for a duration of 101 days. Results: The fortified beverage contributed to improved nutrient intake and nutritional status of the preschool children. The higher demand and mobilization of nutrients to offset growth may have contributed to maintain blood parameters at borderline levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Growth and Development Impact of Food Fortification on Child

dren in a large sample survey (feeding and its effect on intellectual development of children have focused on home fortification of complementary feeding. However, fortification not only contributes positively to growth of children during complementary feeding. It identifies the range from physical, motor, to cognitive development across the studies. This review demonstrates the growing literature on the impact of fortification on growth and development during complementary feeding. It identified growth from the perspective of physical and cognitive dimensions. Micronutrients are major fortifiers in

tion among refugee children [38]. These two studies appear to confirm the impact fortification has on the linear growth of children during complementary feeding. However, fortification not only contributes positively to linear growth and physical development. Some studies have focused on home fortification of complementary feeding and its effect on intellectual development of children in a large sample survey (n = 1,478). In Table 1, relevant selected studies are shown to demonstrate the existing relationship between fortification, growth, and development.

Conclusion and Recommendation
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors [Ref.]</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Methods and results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manger et al. [47]</td>
<td>A micronutrient-fortified seasoning powder reduces morbidity and improves short-term cognitive function but has no effect on anthropometric measures in primary school children in northeast Thailand: a randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Design: In a randomized controlled trial of 569 children aged 5.5–13.4 years from 10 schools, we compared the efficacy of a seasoning powder fortified with or without 5 mg Fe, 5 mg Zn, 50 μg I, and 270 μg vitamin A per serving consumed with a school lunch 5 days/week. Results: The intervention had no statistically significant effect on anthropometric measures over 31 weeks, but reduced the incidence of respiratory-related illnesses (rate ratio [RR]: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.73, 0.94), symptoms of runny nose (RR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.92), cough (RR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.96), and diarrhea (RR: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.16, 0.90).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faber et al. [48]</td>
<td>Effect of a fortified maize meal porridge on anemia, micronutrient status, and motor development of infants</td>
<td>Design: Infants aged 6–12 months (n = 361) were randomly assigned to receive either the fortified or unfortified porridge for 6 months. Growth was assessed as a secondary outcome. Primary and secondary outcomes were assessed at baseline and 6 months. Results: This low-cost fortified porridge can potentially have a significant effect in reducing anemia and improving iron status and motor development of infants in poor settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesanvuni et al. [49]</td>
<td>Fortification of maize meal improved the nutritional status of 1- to 3-year-old African children</td>
<td>Design: A randomized parallel intervention study was used in which 21 experimental children and their families received maize meal fortified with vitamin A, thiamine, riboflavin, and pyridoxine, while 23 control children and their families received unfortified maize meal. Results: Despite a small sample size, this study showed positive effects of a vitamin-fortified maize meal on weight gain and some variables of vitamin A status in 1- to 3-year-old African children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason et al. [50]</td>
<td>Iodine fortification is related to increased weight-for-age and birthweight in children in Asia</td>
<td>Design: The use of iodated salt in relation to anthropometric data was examined from recent survey data. Results: The apparent growth response to iodine may reflect functional effects of mild deficiency, which is widespread, possibly including effects on brain development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaidya et al. [51]</td>
<td>Vegetable oil-fortified feeds in the nutrition of very low birthweight babies</td>
<td>Design: Two kinds of oils, (i) Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA)-rich safflower oil and (ii) medium chain triglyceride (MCT)-rich coconut oil, were added to the feeds of 46 very low birthweight babies to see if such a supplementation is capable of enhancing their weight gain. Twenty-two well-matched babies who received no fortification served as controls. Results: The mean weight gain per day, weight gain per kg per day (19.47 ± or – 8.67 g/day or 13.91 g/day), and mean weekly increment in skinfold thickness were significantly greater in the coconut oil group as compared to controls (p = 0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhilal et al. [52]</td>
<td>Vitamin A-fortified monosodium glutamate and health, growth, and survival of children: a controlled field trial</td>
<td>Design: In a controlled trial, fortification of commercially marketed monosodium glutamate with vitamin A improved serum vitamin A levels of young children and the vitamin A content of breast milk of lactating women. Results: Linear growth was greater among program than among control children at every age.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
common food vehicles, which justifies that fortification is rather a metabolic process in growth and development.

The result based on articles which met the minimum selection criteria identified milk, iodine, maize meal porridge, and vegetable oils as recurring fortification vehicles in the context of complementary feeding. However, the review reflects outcomes that still do not demonstrate direct cause and effect relationships between fortification and growth but rather evidence of implied linkages. Therefore, fortification should be evidence based and implemented based on initial screening processes. Key players in the intervention matrix should avoid blanket or mass fortification without informed choices.
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