Abstract
The composition of an infant's gut microbiome can impact their immediate and long-term health. Bifidobacteria play a major role in structuring the gut microbiome of breastfed infants due to their ability to consume oligosaccharides found in human milk. However, recent studies have revealed that bifidobacteria are often absent in the gut microbiome of breastfed infants in some locations. This lack of colonization may be due either to differences in the environmental conditions in the gastrointestinal tract of uncolonized infants which prohibit the growth of bifidobacteria or a dearth of sources from which infants may acquire these specialized bacterial species. Potential mechanisms by which these broad factors may lead to lower colonization of infants by bifidobacteria are discussed herein. Environmental conditions which may select against bifidobacteria include low rates/duration of breastfeeding, milk glycan composition, and antimicrobial use. Routes of colonization by bifidobacteria which may be disrupted include maternal transfer via vaginal birth, fecal-oral routes, or via breast milk itself. A careful contemplation of the conditions experienced by bifidobacteria over human evolutionary history may lead to further hypotheses as to the causative factors of the differential colonization by this foundation genus in some contemporary locations.
by the microbial diversity of their new ex utero environment and yet possess a
gut microbiota temporarily distinct from that of adults who encounter many of
the same sources of inoculum. The guts of breastfed infants are typically domi-
nated by bifidobacteria, unlike those of adults [1, 2]. Bifidobacteria are gram-
positive anaerophilic bacteria commonly used as probiotics and are beneficial to
infants in a number of ways. Infants with bifidobacteria-dominated gastrointes-
tinal tracts are more resistant to colonization by pathogens, respond better to
some vaccines, and possess better-functioning gut barriers [3–6]. Bifidobacteria
also appear to simultaneously enhance immune surveillance and reduce inflam-
mation [6–9]. Infant-type bifidobacteria present during weaning may guide the
immune system towards tolerance during the introduction of new foods and
their associated antigens, potentially influencing the development of allergic dis-
eases [10–13]. For these public health reasons, among other motivations, bifido-
bacterial levels have been studied in infants across the globe.

Interestingly, it seems that not all infants have large amounts of bifidobacte-
ria in their stool [14]. Comparisons of worldwide datasets (Norway [15], Sweden
[16], Canada [17], Italy [18], Switzerland [19], Bangladesh [4], the USA [20],
Malawi, and Finland [21]) show that the gut microbiomes of healthy breastfed
infants in some populations had lower amounts of bifidobacteria than others.
While further study is needed on the importance of bifidobacterial colonization
in infants from such diverse contexts, given the apparent benefits of their pres-
ence, identifying the cause(s) of this phenomenon and developing potential so-
lutions is of interest. The Dutch microbiologist Lourens Baas Becking once fa-
mously hypothesized that when it came to microbial biogeography “Everything
is everywhere, but the environment selects” [22]. Proposed mechanisms for the
differential bifidobacterial abundance phenomenon may be broken down into
two broad categories mirroring Bass Becking’s statement: either the gut environ-
ments of some infants are differentially selective (against bifidobacteria), or
there are higher barriers to bifidobacteria getting into infants in some places
than others (bifidobacteria are, in fact, not “everywhere”). Using this concep-
tual framework, we will discuss various hypotheses for how bifidobacteria are
acquired by infants, and how the gut microbiota is shaped in ways that may im-
pact the immediate and future health of an infant.

Environmental Selection in the Gut

Many factors that influence the gut microbiome of infants fall under the gen-
eral umbrella of selection-based determination, including the antimicrobial
ingredients of breast milk (lysozyme, lactoferrin, and antibodies), the infant
immune system, and infant exposure to antimicrobials [23–25]. Antibiotic use in particular has been recently shown to impact the infant microbiome, with some studies indicating that antibiotic exposure lowers bifidobacterial levels [26–29]. However, of the potential environmental conditions exerting selective pressure on the gut microbiota, diet is perhaps the most apparent, both in adults and infants [17, 30, 31]. Breast milk has been the principal source of nutrition for infants over human evolutionary history, and formula feeding leads to disruptions in the typical pattern of microbiota development in infants [30, 32]. The mechanism by which breast milk influences the microbiota is of translational interest, as current diet-based means to alter microbial ecosystems in a targeted manner are poorly developed. Breast milk contains macronutrient concentrations of oligosaccharides and glycoconjugates, collectively known as human milk glycans (HMGs) [33]. These carbohydrates pass undigested through the infant small intestine, and, once in the colon, they act as prebiotics supporting the establishment of bifidobacteria [34].

Select bifidobacterial species are uniquely equipped to fully consume the complex HMGs found in breast milk [35]. For example, *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* colonized premature infants better than *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* when given concurrently with human milk, likely due to the capacity of *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* to consume a wider variety of HMGs [36, 37]. The structural complexity of HMGs serves as a barrier to other microbes which cannot successfully compete with bifidobacteria specialized for growth on these substrates. It should also be noted that not all breast milk contains the same mixture of HMGs. For example, maternal secretor status can influence the amount and type of fucosylated oligosaccharides present, which impacts the microbial community structure [20]. In this way, a breast milk diet is selective for a narrow set of bacterial species. The selective pressures of breast milk are strong enough that it is the cessation of breastfeeding, rather than the introduction of complementary foods, that allows for a community-wide shift in microbial composition [38]. Given this knowledge, it is plausible that cultural differences in the duration or rates of breastfeeding between locations may lead to differential colonization by bifidobacteria due to the availability of these selective growth substrates.

The context of other species present in any given infant is also an important selective factor in shaping the final community and thus infant health. Microbes do not exist in isolation but in consortia with large numbers of different taxa, which cooperate and compete in a dizzying array of interactions. *Bacteroides* and bifidobacteria, for example, have strain level metabolic interactions which depend on carbon source availability [39, 40]. They can both consume at least some HMGs, though select bifidobacteria have been shown to outcompete...
Bacteroides for these growth substrates [41]. In the absence of HMG-consuming bifidobacteria, however, Bacteroides species may dominate the infant gut microbiome and expose the infant to increased amounts of lipopolysaccharide types which are linked to downstream autoimmune disease [42]. In addition, Bacteroides degradation products of sialylated milk oligosaccharides have been shown to promote the growth of potentially pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae in in vitro studies, suggesting the presence of a “cross-feeding” effect [43–45]. This outgrowth of Enterobacteriaceae may also induce gut inflammation [45]. Several studies have previously observed a trade-off between the abundance of bifidobacteria and Proteobacteria in infants, which may partially be the result of the import-and-degrade strategy of HMG consumption of some bifidobacteria, which, unlike Bacteroides, do not leave behind degradation products for future proteobacterial consumption [4, 20, 37, 46] (Fig. 1). Some bifidobacteria do externally degrade glycans, as Bifidobacterium bifidum deploys external glycosyl hydrolases which have been shown to promote cross-feeding [47].

The metabolic end products of bifidobacterial metabolism (i.e. lactate and acetate) can also feed and influence the rest of the microbial community [48]. Acetate is also known to be protective against some pathogens [3]. Acetate and lactate also have the secondary effect of lowering the pH of the fecal environment, which is in and of itself a major selective factor, including via specific inhibition of Bacteroides species [49–51]. Taken together, this evidence suggests that colonization of breastfed infants by HMG-consuming bifidobacteria averts an alternative pattern of microbiota establishment which may include overexposure to Bacteroides endotoxin, cross-feeding of potentially pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae, and the induction of proinflammatory cytokines – all of which impact infant health.

**Acquisition of Species: Bacterial Migration and Transmission**

In comparison to environmental selection, the transmission of microbial species to the infant gastrointestinal tract is more difficult to measure. Many studies show overlap between operational taxonomic units in putative source environments and the infant gut microbiome, but this does not show directionality of transfer, nor does it rule out a third common source for the other two environments. However, there is existing evidence to support several possible routes of transfer to at least some infants.

The initial source of microbes in infants is often thought to be from the mother. The placenta is near sterile and likely contributes little to the gut microbiome in the first days of life [52]. Transfer from the mother’s vaginal canal during birth...
Fig. 1. Trade-off between the abundances of bifidobacteria and *Bacteroides* in the gut of breastfed infants: the gut microbial community of infants in Bangladesh (adapted from Huda et al. [4]). Samples in rows are clustered by microbiome euclidean distance using a complete agglomeration method. Samples are colored in each column according to the relative abundance of the microbe on the *x*-axis label in that sample (1 = 100% abundance). Bifidobacteria dominate the gut microbiome of most infants and appear to be mutually exclusive with *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Bacteroidaceae*.
is the first major source of inoculum [53]. Cesarean section birth limits exposure to possible inoculation both via maternal stool during birth and via vaginal contact, and, as a result, cesarean section-born infants often possess distinct gut microbial assemblages which are occasionally lower in bifidobacteria [17, 32, 54]. Bifidobacteria have been detected in the vagina, although specialized HMG degraders such as *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* appear to be rare in that environment, and, where found, their presence may be due to fecal contamination [55–59]. The mother’s intestinal microbiota is a likely source of some bifidobacteria for the infant, both during and after the birthing process, and several studies have proven strain congruence among isolates from mother’s and infant’s feces [55, 60]. The skin of mothers and other caretakers may also be a vector for the early transfer of intestinal microbes [61]. Other potential sources of microbes include siblings, pets, and the built environment [62–64].

Breast milk contains microbes, including bifidobacteria, but their origin and potential impact on colonization remains unclear [65–68]. Reverse flow of milk during nursing indicates likely contaminating transfer of external microbes into the mammary gland, complicating inference of transfer directionality [69]. A so-called “enteromammary” pathway has also been postulated whereby the mother’s immune system gathers microbes from the mother’s gastrointestinal tract and, without killing them, transfers them to be expressed from the mammary gland to the infant during suckling at the breast [70, 71]. This hypothesis remains speculative and has the disadvantage that the absolute number of microbes transferred in such a system would likely be relatively low in comparison to inoculation from other sources (i.e., feces). Whether an elaborate system for transferring what were likely common infant intestinal microbes would be advantageous in the “environment of evolutionary adaptedness” [72] (the ancestral conditions under which the proposed enteromammary pathway putatively arose) or whether a simple fecal-oral route would suffice is an open question.

The environment of evolutionary adaptedness was likely more microbially intensive than is typical in developed nations today, given the lack of hygiene, absence of man-made antimicrobials, and more regular exposure to the diverse array of “outdoor” microbes that go hand-in-hand with a hunter-gatherer or, later, pastoral lifestyle [73]. However, anaerophilic HMG-degrading specialist bifidobacteria were and are not likely to be ubiquitous in all putative source environments for intestinal microbes. Indeed, bifidobacteria have been rare in the gastrointestinal tract of human adults in the traditionally living people studied so far [74]. Indeed, *Bifidobacterium* abundance in adults appears to correlate with the consumption of dairy in the population, as the genus is absent from the Hadza hunter-gatherers (no access to dairy) while being prevalent only in the urban populations of the remote Nicobarese tribe (the subpopulation which has
access to dairy) [75, 76]. However, it is often difficult to disentangle the effect of dairy with that of simply living in higher-density populations with more opportunity for horizontal acquisition of microbes from other individuals. The ultimate source of infant-type bifidobacteria, for the moment, remains unidentified.

**Conclusion**

The past century has seen drastic shifts in both the selective pressures experienced by the human gut microbiota and the opportunities for microbe transmission. The possibility for undesirable side effects of these changes has recently become increasingly clear. Lack of exposure to commensal species, such as bifidobacteria, was unlikely to be an issue often faced by our ancestors. Human physiology may have co-evolved with “expected” exposure to bifidobacteria during the life stages concurrent with breastfeeding, and their presence may provide important developmental cues and protection from disease. Because bifidobacteria are an important foundation species that unlock a key carbon source and facilitate an entire metabolic network leading to adaptive development of the infant gut microbiota, their disappearance may lead to sequelae of public health importance [71, 77, 78]. The “hygiene hypothesis” encompasses this idea and attempts to connect diseases such as diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease, autism, allergies, atopy, metabolic syndrome, and chronic inflammatory bowel diseases with microbial dysbiosis [reviewed in 11, 71, 79].

To design interventions that remedy putative *Bifidobacterium*-related microbial dysbiosis early in life and avoid its potential undesirable outcomes, one must first understand the reasons behind the undercolonization of infants by bifidobacteria in some locations. If the causative mechanism falls under the “environmental selection” umbrella, the solution would need to shift conditions in the gut, such as eliminating the presence of antimicrobials or promoting bifidobacterial growth through targeted HMG-like prebiotics. If the cause is instead that many infants are simply never exposed to the appropriate bifidobacteria, application of an HMG-consuming *Bifidobacterium*-containing probiotic may be a simple solution. However, no amount of prebiotic can enrich a taxa that is not present, and no amount of administered live bifidobacterial cells can establish colonization of a species in an environment that is nonpermissive for its growth. Transnational comparisons of breastfed infant gut microbial communities, combined with the appropriate metadata, may be useful to disentangle the relevant contributing factors and clarify what drives local bifidobacterial colonization patterns. Such studies may best be conducted in the form of ongoing
“microbial community observatories” as suggested by Charbonneau et al. [80]. Ultimately, the combination of multiple types of expertise, such as anthropology, epidemiology, microbiology, chemistry, medicine, and public health, will be necessary to address this developing phenomenon.
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