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Key Messages
\begin{itemize}
\item The introduction of complementary feedings requires gastrointestinal and neuromuscular development for it to be successful.
\item Research has led to recommendations regarding the timing of introduction between 4 and 6 months of age.
\item Problems in the suck-swallow function and/or psychosocial issues can lead to a problematic initiation of complementary feedings.
\end{itemize}

Abstract
In this article, we will summarize the key non-nutritional aspects of the introduction of complementary feeding. Intestinal maturation related to starch digestion is relatively complete by the time complementary feeding is recommended to be initiated. A much more complex maturation is needed, however, from the neurodevelopmental standpoint as the infants need to be able to hold their head and trunk and be able to coordinate tongue movement followed by swallowing. Issues can arise in infants with a history of medical problems as well as when caretakers cannot handle the initial difficulties or want to impose certain rigidity to the learning process. The introduction of complementary feedings is also part of the early steps in introduction to human socialization. In that regard, it sets up the infant to internalize and accept the diversity of food textures and food choices. Early refusal of some food items is common and should not be interpreted as being disliked. Multiple attempts should be made to incorporate new food items. To accomplish these dynamics, caregivers need comprehensive education and relevant information.

Introduction
The incorporation of complementary feeding is the first major proactive step in the infant’s life towards “growing up.” It requires a series of neurodevelopmental achievements and it becomes a way of socialization. In this article, we will summarize the digestive and non-nutritional aspects of the introduction of complementary feeding.

Physiological Aspects

From the Digestive Standpoint
The weaning period is defined as the one that begins with the introduction of a nonmilk diet and ends with the cessation of intake of breastmilk (or formula). In rats, for example, there are precise and sudden changes in the in-
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There is a wide range in the degree of digestibility of commonly used first weaning foods

Complementary Feeding: Beyond Nutrition

Reprinted with permission from:
Ann Nutr Metab 2018;73(suppl 1):20–25
DOI: 10.1159/000490084
handle reasonable amounts of cereal fed by that time. Whatever is not digested or absorbed, colonic microbiota will ferment and utilize.

**Physiology of Deglutition**

The act of drinking and eating can be divided into 4 main components: (1) the oral phase (i.e., suckling or mastication followed by transportation of the bolus to the pharynx), (2) the triggering of the swallow reflex, (3) the pharyngeal phase (transport of the bolus through the pharynx), and (4) the esophageal phase (i.e., transport of the bolus into the stomach through the esophagus).

In the newborn as well as in young infants, all 4 components described above are reflexive and involuntary. Only later in infancy, the oral phase becomes controlled voluntarily which is an essential achievement in order to allow infants to begin to masticate solid food. For mastication to be safe and effective (i.e., biting and chewing) there has to be an appropriate sensory registration of the food source as well as a coordinated motor response connected to cognitive thought processes.

In later life, triggering of the swallow reflex becomes a mostly involuntary activity, although voluntary control is also possible. However, the pharyngeal and esophageal phases of swallowing are involuntary activities. Regardless of these maturational changes, the general sequence of events of swallowing during the pharyngeal and esophageal phases remain unchanged throughout life.

---

**Feeding Problems**

Feeding problems are roughly divided into organic, behavioral, or a combination of both [20, 21]. Organic feeding disturbances may be the consequence of craniofacial malformations, lung and cardiac illnesses, neurological dysfunction, etc. [20].

Although behavioral feeding disturbances may arise associated with dysphagia, in general there is no obvious organic reason for behavioral feeding problems. Tonsillitis, pharyngitis, or even teething, negative experiences in or around the mouth, such as tube feeding, prolonged need for suctioning of secretions, or sensory disturbances (oral hypersensitivity) need to be considered before attributing a feeding difficulty just to behavior.

Some of the feeding problems are food refusal, disruptive behavior at mealtime, rigid food acceptance, and failure to master self-feeding skills according to the child’s developmental abilities. Generally, younger children have more feeding problems than do older ones. The fact is that if they go untreated, feeding problems continue to persist. Some research also shows that feeding problems may evolve into eating disorders in adolescence and adulthood [22].

When feeding skills are intact and appetite is appropriate, feeding times, and as the child grows older, mealtime is an occasion of pleasant socialization with a result of adequate nutrient intake leading to adequate growth. Willingness to eat at appropriate times and intervals, drinking and eating in good rhythm, trying new food textures and flavors, and expressing satisfaction at the end of feeding are considered appropriate feeding behaviors which lead to positive feeding interactions and consequently reinforce the feeling of self-mastery in the young child, resulting in continued food acceptance and progressively reaching independent feeding behaviors. On the other hand, whenever feeding skills are impaired, be it by poor oro-motor skills, extreme sensitivity to texture and/or taste and/or poor appetite, this results in problematic feeding behaviors such as not feeling hunger, sucking or eating extremely slowly, gagging or refusing to take food to the mouth. Particularly in young infants, associative conditioning to painful gastrointestinal cues often manifests itself in problematic feeding behaviors.

---

**Temperamental Characteristics and Regulatory Capacities**

Poor weight gain or the caretaker’s perception of inadequate food intake may result in maternal attempts to increase the infant’s nutrient intake by either feeding more frequently, forcing food into their mouth, or both, which may result in stressful and unpleasant feeding experiences for both. Although not always, these efforts may initially achieve their purpose of maintaining some weight gain, but sooner or later they become ineffective and maladjusted mother-infant/child interactions and behavioral mismanagement may develop. However, addressed early, most eating problems are temporary and easily resolved with little or no special intervention.

Feeding is a primary event in the life of an infant and it is the focus of attention for parents and other caregivers.
Behavioral and Social Aspects of Feeding/Eating

The act of eating not only results in the intake of nutrients but also provides an opportunity for learning. Eating not only has an impact on the infant’s physical growth and overall health but also on their emotional and psychosocial development. The first stage of development takes runs from birth to 3 months when the infant learns self-regulation and organization [23].

The infant starts to integrate experiences of hunger and satiety and to develop a regular feeding pattern. In the second stage, that runs from 3 to 7 months of age, the infant and parent develop an attachment allowing them to interchange communication, while the infant develops behaviors such as basic trust and self-soothing. Finally, in the third stage, from 7 to 36 months of age, the child gradually emotionally “separates” from the parent and discovers a sense of autonomy and independence.

Rapid developmental changes related to eating characterize the first year of life. Once infants gain truncal control, they are able to progress from just sucking liquids in a supine or semi-reclined position to eating semisolid and then solid foods in a seated position. In parallel, oral motor skills advance from a basic suck-swallow mechanism with breast milk or formula to a more complex chew-swallow with semisolids, progressing to complex textures. In addition, as infants gain fine motor control, they are able to advance from being completely fed by others to at least a partial self-feeding. The diet expands from breast milk or formula to purees and then chopped food, to eventually the family diet. By the end of the first year of life, children can sit independently, chew and swallow a range of textures, feed themselves partially, and are making the transition to the family diet and meal patterns.

As children transition to the family diet, recommendations address not only food, but also the eating context. A variety of healthy foods promote diet quality, along with early and sustained food acceptance. Data gathered on infants and young children 6–23 months of age across countries demonstrated a positive association between dietary variety and nutritional status [24]. Exposure to fruits and vegetables in infancy and toddlerhood have been associated with acceptance of these foods at later ages [25–27].

Both the caregiver’s behaviors as well as the child’s temperament influence the feeding relationship [23]. A parent who allows the infant to determine timing, amount, and pacing of a meal helps the infant develop self-regulation and secure attachment. When the child’s signals are misinterpreted, it can lead to or aggravate further problematic feeding behaviors. As said before, strategies to encourage eating such as punishment (in older children), distraction, and toys can work temporarily, but then tend to worsen the problems over time. The most effective approach is responsive feeding, when reciprocal interactions during meals are based on the child’s signals and are age appropriate.

Farrow and Blissett [28] carried out a study in which 87 women completed questionnaires regarding breastfeeding, assessing their control over child-feeding and mealtime negativity at 1 year of infant age. Seventy-four of these women were also observed feeding their infants solid food at 1 year. Mediation analyses demonstrated that the experience of breastfeeding, mediated by lower reported maternal control over child-feeding, predicted maternal reports of less negative mealtime interactions. The experience of breastfeeding also predicted observations of less conflict at mealtimes, mediated by observations of maternal sensitivity during feeding interactions. Variability in the caregiver-child feeding context is related to children’s eating behavior and growth [23, 29].

The dimensions of parental structure and nurturance, which incorporate parents’ perceptions of their child’s behavior, have been applied to the feeding context (Fig. 1) [23, 30–32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nurturance</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoritative</td>
<td>Involved</td>
<td>Forceful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictive</td>
<td>Nurturing</td>
<td>Restrictive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured</td>
<td>Structured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsive</td>
<td>Controlling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indulgent</td>
<td>Uninvolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved</td>
<td>Unengaged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurturing</td>
<td>Insensitive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstructured</td>
<td>Unstructured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indulgent</td>
<td>Uninvolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** The caregiver-child feeding context: patterns of parenting and feeding. With permission from [23].
The Importance of Caregiver Knowledge

Appropriate complementary feeding involves ensuring qualitative (commencing at the correct time, adequate dietary diversity) and quantitative (frequency and amount against age) aspects [33]. An additional index combining appropriate frequency and diversity known as minimum acceptable diet is also often reported by the caregiver, and overall societal knowledge and cultural beliefs are known to be key drivers of all these aspects of complementary feeding along with food availability, largely determined by household wealth.

A systematic review of complementary feeding practices in South Asian Infants identified low education and ill-understood policies in infant and young child feeding (at community level) among the top barriers to appropriate complementary feeding practices [34]. A publication of data from 5 individual South Asian countries also reported a lack of maternal education and lower household wealth as the most consistent determinants of inappropriate practices in complementary feeding [35]. Three related studies from across Sub-Saharan Africa all reported a similar association between maternal/community education and appropriate complementary feeding [36–38]. The Ethiopian study using mothers’ interviews found rates of 72.5, 67.3, and 18.8% of appropriate knowledge/practice regarding timing of initiation, minimum meal frequency, and minimum dietary diversity, respectively [36].

From these findings, the importance of education at the household and community level cannot be overemphasized as an indirect contributor towards appropriate complementary feeding among the most important.

Conclusions

The successful introduction of complementary feeding requires a mature digestive system and the acquisition of some essential neurodevelopmental milestones. Progressive exposure of the infant to a variety of textures and tastes, administered in the appropriate condition including timing and amounts, should lead to a successful transition to the second year of life and incorporation of family foods. Appropriate parental education is needed to avoid common mistakes which are usually transient but that sometimes can lead to lengthier situations that are more difficult to resolve.

Disclosure Statement

F.N.W. and C.L. are members of the Faculty Board of the Nestlé Nutrition Institute. C.L. has received honoraria from Danone, Mead Johnson Nutrition, and IPSEN Pharma. F.N.W. is also a board member of the Nestlé Nutrition Institute Africa and has received an honorarium from MERCK. The writing of this article was supported by Nestlé Nutrition Institute.

References

4 American Academy of Pediatrics: Breastfeeding along with food availability, largely determined by household wealth.

Reprinted with permission from: Ann Nutr Metab 2018;73(suppl 1):20–25
DOI: 10.1159/000490084

Were/Lifsicht